

慶應言語学コロキウム

Baby steps in perceiving articulatory foundations of phonological contrasts: Developmental changes in infants' perception of cross-modal AV congruency for native and nonnative consonants

講師: Catherine Best (University of Western Sydney)

日時: 2015年7月14日(火) 16:00-17:30

会場: 慶應義塾大学三田キャンパス東館8階東館ホール

参加費無料 申込不要 (使用言語: 英語)

* 発表要旨は裏面をご覧ください *

共催: 慶應義塾大学言語文化研究所

慶應義塾大学赤ちゃんラボ

後援: 福澤諭吉記念慶應義塾学事振興基金

〈お問い合わせ先〉

〒108-8345 港区三田 2-15-45 慶應義塾大学言語文化研究所

電話: 03-5427-1595 (事務室直通) メール: genbu@icl.keio.ac.jp

<http://www.icl.keio.ac.jp>

Abstract

Whether the perceptual primitives that perceivers use to recognize phonological distinctions include articulatory information or, as widely assumed, only acoustic information remains an important topic of debate. The answer is central to understanding infants' early steps toward acquisition of their native phonology. Compatible with the premise that perceivers detect articulatory information in speech, adults' perception of acoustic speech is systematically influenced by visible speech movements: a synchronously-presented phonetically-congruent talking face enhances intelligibility of speech in noise, whereas a phonetically-incongruent face can produce "misperception" of the audio token's place of articulation (McGurk effect). Similar results have been found in young infants. But bimodal audio-visual (AV) studies alone cannot pinpoint whether perceivers detect amodal articulatory correspondences between audio and video signals, or instead rely on learned AV associations between specific audio speech categories and the co-occurring face motions they have experienced with those sounds. Resolving this issue requires examination of: 1) intermodal recognition (cross-modal "transfer") of the common information between audio-only (A) and video-only (V) tokens of a given speech target; and of 2) developmental changes in recognition of experienced (native) versus non-experienced (nonnative) speech contrasts. The only prior such study tested English vs. Spanish infants' detection of A→V congruence in a visible contrast used in English but not Spanish: /b/-/v/. Both groups succeeded at 4 months but only English infants did so at 11 months (Pons, Lewkowicz, Sebastián-Gallés & Soto-Faraco, 2009). The 4-month results refute the hypothesis that perception of intermodal congruence rests on learned A-V associations. However, because both stimuli were labials (same articulator: LIPS) we can't tell whether they detected AV congruence based on articulator-distinct or other information (e.g., phonetic features; cross-modal psychophysical properties). Moreover, as Spanish 11-month-olds fail to discriminate audio-only /b/-/v/, their lack of differential response to the silent /b/ vs. /v/ videos after being habituated to audio /b/ or /v/ tells us nothing about their ability to detect intermodal congruencies. Therefore, we designed a series of studies on 4- and 11-month-old infants' detection of intermodal A→V congruency for visibly-distinct between-articulator contrasts (LIPS-TONGUE TIP) in native and two types of nonnative consonants. We conducted three experiments to assess sensitivity to intermodal A→V congruence in Australian English-learning infants of 4 months (n = 20/expt) and 11 months (n = 20/expt): English stops /pa/-/ta/ (Expt 1); Tigrinya ejectives /p'a/-/t'a/ (Expt 2); !Xóõ bilabial vs. dental clicks /!Oa/-/!a/ (Expt 3). In a separate study we confirmed that both ages can discriminate audio-only tokens of these clicks. We found that 4-month-olds showed a fixation preference for A→V articulator congruency in both the English and Tigrinya contrasts, but 11-month-olds only preferred A→V congruency for English, reversing to a novelty preference for the Tigrinya ejectives. In striking contrast, the 4-month-olds showed an A→V incongruency preference for the !Xóõ clicks, while the 11-month-olds failed to detect any A→V congruency relationship. We propose that both 4- and 11-month-olds recognize the Tigrinya ejectives as speech articulations, but only the older group recognize that they are deviant from English. In contrast, 4-month-olds already recognize !Xóõ clicks as deviant from English, while 11-month-olds instead treat them as nonspeech, like adults. We will discuss the implications of these findings for theories of native-language perceptual attunement and phonological development.